

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,601	02/14/2005	Yusei Nishimoto	U 015632-2 6567	
140 LADAS & PA	7590 12/18/200 R R Y	EXAMINER		
26 WEST 61S	Γ STREET	CHAI, LONGBIT		
NEW YORK,	NY 10023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/524,601	NISHIMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Longbit Chai	2131		

	Longbit Chai		2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover	sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 December 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION I	N CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as ving replies: (1) ar tice of Appeal (wit	filing a Notice of amendment, aff h appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CI	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		SOX (b) WHEN THE	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corr shortened statutory p than three months	esponding amount period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37	CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	hut mriar to the de	o of filing a briaf	will not be entered by	0001100
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or			∍cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		l by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding nui	mber of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached	Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				, , .
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		ed in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 5.			Il be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> reject	tions under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of t	he claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Pape	er No(s)		
13.			CHRISTOPHER PRIMARY EXAM	REVAK
			(d 0 T	veH

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)



Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The new limitations were clearly not present in the claims and entry of this language would require reopening of prosecution for additional search or reconsideration.